

UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

					\mathcal{O}^{N}	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/265,385	03/10/99	UCHIYAMA		К	003510-033	
			¬ [EXAMINER		
021839		QM12/0227	, –			
BURNS DOANE	SWECKER &	MATHIS L L P	_	POLLA	RD,S	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404			ART UNIT	T PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA '	VA 22313-14	04		3727	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/27/01

Application No.

09/265,385

Applicant(s)

Uchiyama

Advisory Action

Examiner

Group Art Unit Steven M. Pollard

3727



тн	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
•	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The ate on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of etermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be alculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	oppellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any eriod for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Ap bu	icant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 20, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, s NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
	he proposed amendment(s):					
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	will not be entered because:					
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NOTE:					
		—				
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition	วท				
	for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Final Rejection of record.					
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Claims allowed: none					
	Claims objected to: none	_				
	Claims rejected: 1-24					
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.					
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)					
X	Steven Pollard	2				
/- 1	INS dated 8/3/29 Steven 10. 100					
	Steven Pollard Primary Examiner					